UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,740	10/28/2003	Jason Bartell	07844-603001 / P556	6314
21876 FISH & RICHA	7590 09/18/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1022		YANG, RYAN R		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,740	BARTELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan R. Yang	2628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 26-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrast 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 26-32,35-41 and 44-50 is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) 33-34,42-43,51-52 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration. ed.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected to by the □		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/25/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 35-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claimed computer readable medium is not disclosed in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,740 Page 3

Art Unit: 2628

Claims 35-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what the claimed computer product is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 26, 32, 35, 41, 44 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bronskill et al. (US 7,158,138).

As per claim 26, Bronskill et al., hereinafter Bronskill, discloses a computerimplemented method comprising:

receiving user input drawing a paint stroke during a time period ("a user may enter commands and information into the personal computer ...", column 5, line 3-7; "the path $Q(t)=\{x(t),y(t)\}$ of the guideline is first expressed in parametric form with parameter t ($0 \le t \le 1$)", column 6, line 59-67, where t is time);

associating a first set of time values in the time period with one or more parameter values, each of the one or more parameter values representing an appearance attribute of the paint stroke occurring at a time value (where the parameter could be W, H or T, see column 7, line 11-20); and

associating a second set of time values with the parameter values in the time period, the second set of time values being different from the first set of time values ("the bitmap brush being mapped once along the path Q(t) from $0 \le t \le 0.5$ and once again from $0.5 \le t \le 1$ ", column 8, line 50-54).

As per claim 32, Bronskill demonstrated all the elements as disclosed in claim 26, and further discloses:

generating a first instance of the paint stroke (Figure 14, segment 0);

changing the paint stroke by changing the time value associated with a parameter value (Figure 14, segment 0 to segment 1);

generating a second instance of the paint stroke that corresponds to the changed paint stroke (Figure 14, segment 1); and

interpolating between the first and second instances to generate one or more additional instances of the paint stroke (Figure 16 where paint stroke in between segments are interpolated).

Claims 35 and 41, and 44 and 45 are similar to claims 26 and 33, respectively, therefore are similarly rejected as claims 26 and 33, respectively.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26-31, 35-40 and 44-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bradstreet et al. (US 5,835,086).

Art Unit: 2628

As per claim 26, Bradstreet et al., hereinafter Bradstreet, discloses a computerimplemented method comprising:

receiving user input drawing a paint stroke during a time period (Figure 5, item 110 where AGE (2,6) is a time period);

associating a first set of time values in the time period with one or more parameter values, each of the one or more parameter values representing an appearance attribute of the paint stroke occurring at a time value ("Such effects include, for example, applying a preselected paint color, lightening or darkening the color of the pixel, changing the tint or color", column 3, line 56-59); and

associating a second set of time values with the parameter values in the time period, the second set of time values being different from the first set of time values (Figure 5, item 110 where AGE (3,8) is a second time period).

As per claim 27, Bradstreet demonstrated all the elements as disclosed in claim 26, and further discloses comprising:

associating independently the parameter values and the position values with the first set of time values (Figure 2 where the parameters are associated independently). As per claim 28, Bradstreet demonstrated all the elements as disclosed in claim 26, and further discloses the association of parameter values with time values is defined as a function (Figure 4 wherein the painted stroke is a sequence of image which constitutes a functional relationship with time).

Art Unit: 2628

As per claim 29, Bradstreet demonstrated all the elements as disclosed in claim 28, and further discloses the function is piecewise linear (Figure 5 wherein each time piece is piecewise linear).

As per claim 30, Bradstreet demonstrated all the elements as disclosed in claim 28, and further discloses different function is used for each parameter value (different parameters has different functions).

As per claim 31, Bradstreet demonstrated all the elements as disclosed in claim 28, and further discloses the function is implemented as a table (Figure 5, item 110 is a table). Claims 35-40, and 44-49 are similar to claims 26-31, respectively, therefore are similarly rejected as claims 26-31, respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 33, 34, 42, 43, 51 and 52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As per claim 33, the closest prior art by Bradstreet or Bronskill does not explicitly discloses

first instance and the second instance each correspond to a keyframe of an animation, the animation having an animation time frame, each keyframe corresponding to a time point in animation time; and

time value is changed as a function of animation time.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,740 Page 7

Art Unit: 2628

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan R Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7666. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ryan R Yang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628 September 16, 2008